



\$~15 to 18

- * **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
- + CRL.M.C. 2451/2013, CRL.M.A. 9388/2013, CRL.M.A. 13424/2015,
CRL.M.A. 13022/2019, CRL.M.A. 5371/2023, CRL.M.A. 5372/2023
and CRL.M.A. 9326/2023
HERO MOTOCORP LIMITED & ANR Petitioners
Through: Mr.Mohit Mathur, Sr. Advocate,
Mr.Maninder Singh, Sr. Advocate with
Mr.Rohit Malhotra, Mr.Rahul, Ms.Sanyogita and
Ms.Simran, Advocates
versus
STATE & ANR Respondents
Through: Mr. Aashneet Singh, APP for State with
SI Vinay
Mr.Shahzeb Ahmed and Mr.W.Ali Khan,
Advocates for complainant
- + CRL.M.C. 2462/2013, CRL.M.A. 4242/2014, CRL.M.A. 13468/2019,
CRL.M.A. 13469/2019, CRL.M.A. 35249/2019,
CRL.M.A. 35250/2019 and CRL.M.A. 5295/2023
DEEPAK JAIN & ORS. Petitioners
Through: Mr.Mohit Mathur, Sr. Advocate,
Mr.Maninder Singh, Sr. Advocate with
Mr.Rohit Malhotra, Mr.Rahul, Ms.Sanyogita and
Ms.Simran, Advocates
versus
STATE AND ANR. Respondents
Through: Mr. Aashneet Singh, APP for State with
SI Vinay
Mr.Shahzeb Ahmed and Mr.W.Ali Khan,
Advocates for complainant
- + CRL.M.C. 2525/2013, CRL.M.A. 9775/2013, CRL.M.A. 34489/2019,
CRL.M.A. 34493/2019 and CRL.M.A. 5289/2023
MANDEEP SINGH & ORS. Petitioners
Through: Mr.Mohit Mathur, Sr. Advocate,
Mr.Maninder Singh, Sr. Advocate with
Mr.Rohit Malhotra, Mr.Rahul, Ms.Sanyogita and



Ms.Simran, Advocates
Mr.Arpan Wadhawan, Advocate
versus

STATE & ANR. Respondents

Through: Mr. Aashneet Singh, APP for State with
SI Vinay
Mr.Shahzeb Ahmed and Mr.W.Ali Khan,
Advocates for complainant

+ CRL.M.C. 1381/2023 and CRL.M.A. 5321/2023
HERO MOTOCORP LIMITED Petitioner

Through: Mr.Mohit Mathur, Sr. Advocate,
Mr.Maninder Singh, Sr. Advocate with
Mr.Rohit Malhotra, Mr.Rahul, Ms.Sanyogita and
Ms.Simran, Advocates
versus

STATE & ANR. Respondent

Through: Mr. Aashneet Singh, APP for State with
SI Vinay
Mr.Shahzeb Ahmed and Mr.W.Ali Khan,
Advocates for complainant

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER
16.05.2024

%

1. By way of present petitions filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioners seek quashing of Complaint Case No. 110/2012 as well as the order dated 12.12.2022 directing further investigation passed in Complaint Case No. 1387/2018 and the consequent proceedings emanating therefrom. The subject complaints, alongwith applications under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C., came to be preferred by respondent No.2 alleging misappropriation of huge sums of money by the petitioners. It is noted that FIR No. 6/2013 under Section 406/420/467/468/471/120B IPC at P.S. Shakarpur has come



to be registered in the aforesaid complaint cases.

2. Learned APP for the State submits that now a closure report has been filed which is pending consideration before the concerned trial court. It is further informed that the complainant has preferred a protest petition.

3. The present petition is disposed of alongwith the pending application with liberty to the petitioner to seek remedy in accordance with law.

4. With the consent of the parties and considering that interim protection that was initially granted has been continued till date, the same shall be continued till the closure report/protest petition is considered/decided by the concerned court and for a period of one week thereafter.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

MAY 16, 2024

na