



\$~50

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 16862/2024 CM APPL. 71432/2024**
SANJAY KUMAR VASHIST

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms Indira Goswami, Ms Anamika,
Ms Poonam, and Ms Nirmala,
Advocates with petitioner in person.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr Karan Shankar Mani, GP for UOI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TUSHAR RAO GEDELA

ORDER

06.12.2024

%

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition, *inter alia*, praying as
under:-

“(a) Pass appropriate directions to the Respondents to rescind the contract awarded to the existing contractor and take legal action against them for the larger public interest; and/or

(b) Pass appropriate directions to the Respondents to make resolutions/ guidelines to keep a check on the activities of the contractors whoever is awarded with the contract of parking facilities nearby a monument having national/international importance; and/or

(c) Direct the Respondents to hold departmental enquiry against the officers who ought to have invigilated the activities of the contractor after being awarded the contract of parking facilities nearby a monument as sensitive and important as the Red Fort; and/or



2. It is the case of the petitioner that the party /contractor (M/s V Inspirer Facility Management Private Limited), which has been awarded the parking contract facilities at Red Fort and Qutab Minar for a term of three years is not following the conditions as laid down by the Archaeological Survey of India (ASI). The petitioner has already submitted a representation in this regard to the ASI on 16.07.2024, however the same has not been decided as yet.

3. In view of the above, we consider it apposite to direct the ASI to decide the representation of the petitioner and communicate the decision to him. The ASI, in particular, the Director General as well as concerned police authorities, shall examine the alleged violations of the terms and conditions as highlighted by the petitioner and in case the same are found to be correct, shall also take the remedial action, in accordance with law.

4. The petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms. Pending application also stands disposed of.

ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

TUSHAR RAO GEDELA, J

DECEMBER 06, 2024

M

Click here to check corrigendum, if any