



\$~82

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 9560/2024 & CRL. MA 36709/2024**

NEERAJ GOEL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Manoj Kumar Sharma, Advocate
with petitioner in person.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Kiran Bariwa, APP for State with
SI Prince PS Mandawali, Delhi.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

06.12.2024

%

The matter has been received on transfer.

1. The present proceedings are instituted on behalf of the petitioner seeking quashing of FIR No. 206/2019 registered under Section 354 IPC at Police Station Mandawali, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR, the petitioner being the landlord of respondent No.2, called her to his godown and acted inappropriately with her, causing her mental distress.
3. Learned APP for the State, on instructions, submits that the charge-sheet has been filed and that the petitioner is the only accused and respondent No.2 is the complainant/victim in the present case. He further states that even though the parties have entered into a compromise, keeping in view the serious nature of the allegations and the fact that State machinery has already been put in motion, the petitioner may be saddled with some costs.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner and



respondent No.2 are known to each other, being landlord and tenant respectively and that the present FIR was registered due to misunderstanding. It is further submitted that with the intervention of family members and friends, the parties have amicably settled their disputes vide Settlement Deed dated 29.11.2024, a copy whereof has been placed on record. In terms of the said settlement, complainant/respondent No.2 is now left with no claims or grievances against the petitioner.

5. The petitioner, who is present in Court, has been identified by his counsel as well as by the I.O./ SI Prince PS Mandawali, Delhi. Respondent No. 2 is also present in Court and has been identified by the I.O.

6. The petitioner has shown remorse for his conduct and undertakes not to repeat the same in future. Respondent No. 2 also states that she has entered into the aforementioned settlement out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

7. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

8. In view of the above facts and considering that no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed, subject to payment of cost of Rs.20,000/- to be paid to the respondent No.2 by the petitioner within four weeks by way of demand draft through the I.O. Proof evidencing receipt of payment shall be filed with the I.O.

9. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of alongwith miscellaneous application.



10. In case the proof of payment of cost is not filed within four weeks, the I.O. shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application in this regard.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

DECEMBER 6, 2024/rd