



\$~151

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 9533/2024

SADIQ

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mohd. Danish, Mr. Mutiur
Rehman, Ms. Shaheen, Ms. Mewish
Khanam, Ms. Arshi, Mohammad
Salman Saifi, Advocates

versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP for the State
with SI Vikas

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA DHARI SINGH

ORDER

% **05.12.2024**

CRL.M.A. 36597/2024 (Exemption)

13. Exemption allowed subject to just exceptions.

14. The application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 9533/2024

15. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") (earlier Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973(hereinafter "Cr.P.C.") has been filed on behalf of the petitioner praying for quashing of FIR bearing No. 716/2023 registered at Police Station – Sadar Bazar, Delhi for offences punishable under Sections 313 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter "IPC").

16. The brief facts of the case are that the marriage between the petitioner



and respondent no.2 got solemnized on 5th April, 2013 at Bijnor, Uttar Pradesh according to Muslim rites and ceremonies but due to some temperamental differences between them, they started living separately. No child was born out of their wedlock.

17. Despite several efforts of reconciliation, both the parties could not settle the differences which led to the registration of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioner.

18. With the intervention of family members and relatives, both the parties amicably settled the matter and are living together peacefully.

19. The petitioner is also present before this Court and has been identified by their counsel, Mr. Mohd. Danish, Advocate and Investigating Officer SI Vikas, Police Station Sadar Bazar, Delhi. The respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by his counsel and the Investigating Officer.

20. On the query made by this Court, respondent no.2 has categorically stated that she settled the matter on her own free will and without any pressure.

21. It is prayed that the instant FIR be quashed on the basis of the Judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab***, (2012) 10 SCC 303 and ***Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbai vs. State of Gujarat***, (2017) 9 SCC 641.

22. Learned APP for the State submitted that there is no opposition to the prayer made on behalf of the petitioners seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

23. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

24. The instant criminal proceedings in respect of non-compoundable



offences are private in nature and do not have a serious impact on the society especially when there is a settlement/compromise between victim and accused. In such cases, it is settled law that High Court is also required to consider the conduct and antecedents of the accused in order to ascertain that the settlement has been entered into by her own free will and has not been imposed upon her by the petitioner or any person related to him. In the present case, the complainant is present in Court and has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise and settled the entire disputes amicably with the petitioners by her own free will without any pressure or coercion. There is also no allegation from respondent no.2 that the conduct and antecedents of petitioners have been bad towards her after the compromise. Moreover, the petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2 reconciled and are living together peacefully.

25. In the case of ***State of Madhya Pradesh vs. Laxmi Narayan and Ors., (2019) 5 SCC 688***, the Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the powers conferred under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C, can be exercised by the Courts to quash the criminal proceedings featuring non-compoundable offences, when the matter arises out of matrimonial or family disputes. Moreover, it is pertinent to satisfy the Court that the said non-compoundable offences are private in nature and does not have a serious impact on the society.

26. Furthermore, it was observed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Ramgopal and Ors. Vs. The State of Madhya Pradesh, 2021 INSC 568***, that the extraordinary power enjoined upon the High Courts under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. can be invoked even when such a case falls within the ambit of non-compoundable offences given that the Court must be satisfied that the nature of the offence does not impact the conscious of the



society and that the compromise between the parties is voluntary and amicable.

27. In the instant case, as stated above, the parties have reached on the compromise and amicably settled the entire disputes without any pressure and have been living together.

28. In view of the settlement arrived at between the parties, law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and the fact that they living together, the present petition is allowed. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 716/2023 registered at Police Station – Sadar Bazar, Delhi for offences punishable under Sections 313 of the IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

29. The petition alongwith pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

CHANDRA DHARI SINGH, J

DECEMBER 5, 2024

rt/mk

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)