



\$~77 to 79

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 16736/2024**

**ARUN KUMAR GOND**

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Eshha Kumar & Mr.  
Jasleen Singh Sanddha, Advs.

versus

**STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION AND ORS.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sahil Munjal, SPC and Mr.  
Shriram Tiwary, Adv.  
Mr. Manish Mohan, CGSC  
with Mrs. Perna Dhall, GP,  
Mr. Varenym, Mr. Samarth  
Talesara, Mr. Aishani Mohan &  
Mr. Jatin Teotia, Advs. for R-1  
& 2.  
Mr. Gaurav Dhingra & Mr.  
Shashank Singh, Advs. for  
GNCTD.  
Mr. Achal Gupta, Adv. for R-3.

**78.**

+ **W.P.(C) 16737/2024**

**NAVEEN**

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Eshha Kumar & Mr.  
Jasleen Singh Sanddha, Advs.

versus

**STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION AND ORS.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Shekhar Kumar, SPC with  
Sh. Vivek Nagar, GP for R-1 &  
2.  
Mr. Yeeshu Jain ASC with Ms.



Jyoti Tyagi, Mr. Hitanshu Mishra & Mr. Vinit Roy, Advs. for R3.

Mr. Vivek Nagar, Adv. for UOI.

Mr. Sahil Munjal, SPC and Mr. Shriram Tiwary, Adv.

Mr. Gaurav Dhingra & Mr. Shashank Singh, Advs. for GNCTD.

Mr. Achal Gupta, Adv. for R-3.

**79.**

+ W.P.(C) 16738/2024

NIKHIL KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Eshha Kumar & Mr. Jasleen Singh Sanddha, Advs.

versus

STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Latika Choudhury, Adv. for R3.

Mr. Sahil Munjal, SPC and Mr. Shriram Tiwary, Adv. for R-1 & 2.

Mr. Gaurav Dhingra & Mr. Shashank Singh, Advs. for GNCTD.

Mr. Achal Gupta, Adv. for R-3.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA**

**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINDER KAUR**

**ORDER**

**04.12.2024**

%

1. The petitioners are challenging the rejection of their candidature



for appointment as Constable (GD) in the Central Armed Police Forces (CAPFs), SSF and Rifleman (GD) in Assam Rifles Examination, 2024 pursuant to the advertisement/notice issued by the Government of India, Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances & Pensions. The examination was advertised by the Staff Selection Commission based on the vacancies intimated by the Ministry of Home Affairs.

2. The learned counsels for the respondents, who appear on advance notice, submit that the present petitions would not be maintainable before this Court and the proper remedy of the petitioners would be to approach the learned Central Administrative Tribunal (in short, 'Tribunal').

3. As the posts have been advertised by the respondent no.2 and the selection process is being undertaken by the respondent no.1, both of which are subject to the jurisdiction of the learned Tribunal, we find merit in the objection raised by the learned counsels for the respondents. We are, therefore, of the opinion that the petitioners should first approach the learned Tribunal for seeking the appropriate relief.

4. Accordingly, the above petitions are disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to approach the learned Tribunal.

**NAVIN CHAWLA, J**

**SHALINDER KAUR, J**

**DECEMBER 4, 2024/ab/sk/SJ**

*Click here to check corrigendum, if any*