



\$~111

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 3780/2024**

ZAID AHMAD & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Sher Afgon, Adv. with petitioner
No. 2 & 3 in person and petitioner
No. 1 through V.C.

versus

**THE STATE GOVT OF NCT, DELHI REPRESENT THROUGH
SHO RAJOURI GARDEN & ANR.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel
(Crl.) for State with Ms. Priyam
Agarwal and Mr. Abhinav Kumar
Arya, Advs.
Ms. Neelofer, Adv. for R-2 with R-2
in person.
SI Manoj Kumar, PS Shaheen Bagh.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER

%

03.12.2024

CRL.M.A. 36345/2024

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The application stands disposed of.

W.P.(CRL) 3780/2024

1. This is a petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with section 482 of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking quashing of FIR No. 127/2023, dated 27.03.2023, registered at Police Station Shaheen Bagh, Delhi under Sections 498-A/406/34 IPC, 1860 and



all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, if any.

2. During the pendency of proceedings the parties have arrived at a settlement which was duly recorded before the learned Judge, Family Court-02, South-East, Saket Courts, New Delhi on 23.08.2024, whereby the parties stated that they have started to reside together.

3. The petitioner nos. 1 and 2 i.e. Mr. Zaid Ahmad and Ms. Ruqaiya Khanam are present in court and petitioner No. 3 i.e. Ms. Shahana Begam is appearing through video-conferencing. They have been identified by their counsel, namely, Mr. Sher Afgon and Investigating Officer SI Manoj Kumar, P.S. Shaheen Bagh.

4. Respondent No. 2 i.e. Mrs. Anam Khanam is also present in Court and has been identified by her counsel, namely, Ms. Neelofer, Adv along with the Investigating Officer SI Manoj Kumar, PS Shaheen Bagh.

5. Both the parties state that they have entered into the aforesaid settlement out of their own free will, volition and without any threat, force, undue influence or coercion. In addition, respondent no. 2 states that she has no objection if the FIR is quashed.

6. Since the parties have arrived at a settlement and no disputes are pending, I am convinced that quashing of such proceedings on account of compromise would bring about peace and would secure the ends of justice. This court does not see any fruitful purpose if criminal proceedings are permitted to be prosecuted any further. It is a fit case for quashing. In this view of the matter, there is no reason to continue the proceedings.

7. For the reasons noted above, FIR No. 127/2023, dated 27.03.2023, registered at Police Station Shaheen Bagh, Delhi under Sections 498-A/406/34 IPC, 1860 and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom,



if any, are hereby quashed.

8. The petition is disposed of accordingly.

JASMEET SINGH, J

DECEMBER 3, 2024/akc

Click here to check corrigendum, if any