



\$~67

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 9433/2024 and CRL.M.A. 36222/2024

RAJEEV KUMAR @ RINKU AND ANR.Petitioners

Through: Ms.Mishika Pandita, Advocate with
petitioners in person

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, APP for State
with SI Manoj Kumar

Ms.Shweta and Mr.K.S. Verma, Advocates for
respondent No.2 with respondent No.2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

% **03.12.2024**

1. By way of present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.255/2013 registered under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC at P.S. Mianwali Nagar, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.

2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No.1 (husband) whereas petitioner No.2 is the mother-in-law of the complainant.

3. Learned APP for the State submits that the chargesheet in the present matter has been filed. He further submits that the petitioners are the only accused persons against whom the charges have been framed and respondent No.2 is the complainant/victim.



4. Learned counsels for the parties submit that the parties have settled their disputes on 31.10.2017 before the Delhi Mediation Centre, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi. It is stated that petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated 29.10.2018 passed by learned Principal Judge, Family Courts, West, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi in HMA No.3466/18. In terms of the settlement, respondent No.2 is now left with no claims or grievances whatsoever against the petitioners.

5. The petitioners, who are present in Court, have been identified by their counsel as well as by the I.O. Learned counsel for the petitioners, on instructions from petitioner No.1, states that the rights of the minor child, who is in custody of respondent No.2, shall remain unaffected by the terms of the settlement arrived at between the parties. Petitioner No.1, who is present in person, reiterates the same. In acknowledgement of the said statement, petitioner No.1 and his counsel have signed the order sheet.

6. Respondent No.2, who is also present in Court, has been identified by her counsel as well as by the Investigating Officer.

7. Respondent No.2 states that she has entered into the aforesaid mediation settlement out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

8. Learned counsels for the parties submit that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

9. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.



10. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

11. The petition is disposed of in the above terms alongwith the pending application.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

DECEMBER 3, 2024

na