



\$~96

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 3759/2024**

ARJUN & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Deepak Yadav with Mr. Vikrant,
Advocate and petitioners in court.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Anand V Khatri, ASC for the
State with SI Bharat Singh, P.S.:
Uttam Nagar.

Mr. Bharat Bhagga, Advocate for R2
via video-conferencing and R2 in
court.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

%

02.12.2024

CRL.M.A. 36100/2024

Exemption granted, subject to just exceptions.

Let requisite compliances be made within 01 week.

The application stands disposed-of.

CRL.M.A. 36101/2024

By way of the present application filed under section 5 of the
Limitation Act 1963, the petitioner seeks condonation of 22 days'
delay in *re-filing* the petition.

2. For the reasons stated in the application, which is duly supported by
an affidavit, the application is allowed.
3. The delay in *re-filing* of the petition is condoned.
4. W.P.(CRL) No. 3759/2024 is taken on Board.
5. The application stands disposed-of.



W.P.(CRL) 3759/2024

6. By way of the present petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023, the petitioners, who are the former husband and in-laws of the complainant/respondent No. 2, seek quashing of case FIR No. 638/2021 dated 13.08.2021 registered under sections 498-A/406 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC') at P.S.: Uttam Nagar, Delhi.
7. The petition is premised on Settlement Deed dated 05.08.2023 arrived at through mediation before the Mediation Centre, Dwarka Courts, New Delhi; and Divorce Decree dated 01.03.2024, which is the culmination of petitions under sections 13B(1) and 13B(2) of the Hindu Marriage Act 1955, whereby the parties had sought dissolution of their marriage by mutual consent.
8. The petition is supported by affidavits of the petitioners, as also of respondent No. 2, alongwith proof of their IDs.
9. The petitioners as well as respondent No. 2 are present in court. Their credentials have been verified and they have also been identified by their respective counsel.
10. The parties have confirmed that no child was born from the wed-lock.
11. No appeal is stated to have been filed from the divorce decree.
12. The court has queried respondent No. 2, who confirms that she has taken divorce by mutual consent; and that a settlement deed has been entered into between the parties; and that in full-and-final settlement of all her claims including towards maintenance (past, present and future), *stridhan*, dowry articles, jewellery, permanent alimony, etc.,



she was to receive a sum of Rs.2,10,000/-from petitioner No. 1; out of which Rs.1,40,000/- was paid earlier and Rs.70,000/- has been paid in court today, in compliance of the terms of the settlement deed. Respondent No. 2 confirms that all aspects of the settlement have now been performed.

13. Mr. Anand V Khatri, learned ASC confirms that the State has no objection to the subject FIR being quashed.
14. In the circumstances, in line with the law laid down by the Supreme Court in *Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab &Anr.* reported as (2012) 10 SCC 303 as also in *Narinder Singh &Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.* reported as (2014) 6 SCC 466, this court sees no reason why the subject FIR and all proceedings emanating therefrom should not be quashed. This court is of the view that in light of the settlement between the contesting parties, continuing with the subject FIR and all subsequent proceedings would be an exercise in futility and would not be conducive to peace and harmony between the parties.
15. Accordingly, case FIR No. 638/2021 dated 13.08.2021 registered under sections 498-A/406 IPC at P.S.: Uttam Nagar, Delhi is quashed. All proceedings arising therefrom also stand closed.
16. Petition stands disposed-of.
17. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed-of.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

DECEMBER 2, 2024

ds