



\$~43

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 934/2024**

PUMA SPORTS INDIA PRIVATE LIMITEDPetitioner

Through: Mr. Mohit Paul, Ms. Rangoli Seth,
Advs.

versus

V.R. DAKSHIN PRIVATE LIMITEDRespondent

Through: Mr. Abhyudai Singh, Ms. Swati
Sharma, Mr. Mukund Rawat and Mr.
Maaz Ahmed Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

% **02.12.2024**

I.A. 46742/2024, I.A. 46743/2024 (Exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 934/2024

1. This Petition under Section 29A(5) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 has been filed by the Petitioner for extending the mandate of the Sole Arbitrator.
2. Material on record indicates that the Arbitrator was appointed by this Court on 23.01.2023. Material on record indicates that the last of the mandate of the Arbitrator was extended mutually by the parties till 01.12.2024.
3. It is stated that the parties have concluded their arguments and the Award has been reserved.



4. The Apex Court in Rohan Builders (India) Private Limited v. Berger Paints India Limited, **2024 SCC OnLine SC 2494**, has held that an application for extension of the time period for passing an arbitral award under Section 29A(4) read with Section 29A(5) is maintainable even after the expiry of the twelve-month or the extended six-month period, as the case may be.
5. In view of the facts of the present case, this Court is inclined to extend the mandate of the Arbitrator for a period of four months from today, so that the award can be pronounced.
6. The Petition is disposed of, along with the pending applications, if any.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

DECEMBER 2, 2024

S. Zakir