



\$~107

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 16527/2024**

MS. MAYRA THROUGH MS. MEENAKSHI NATURAL
GUARDIAN/MOTHER

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr.Piyush Lakhera and Ms.Vasudha
Agarwal, Advocates.

versus

DIRECTORATE OF EDUCATION GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF
DELHI THROUGH ITS DIRECTOR & ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr.Karn Bhardwaj, ASC with
Mr.Shubham, Mr.Rajat and
Mr.Saurabh, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

29.11.2024

CM APPL. 69912/2024 (for exemption)

1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 16527/2024

3. The instant writ petition is filed seeking directions to the respondent-Directorate of Education (DoE) and the respondent-School to allocate a seat and grant admission to the petitioner as per the set legal mandate of the Right to Education Act, 2009.
4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that



though the allocation was made on 28.04.2022, however, the respondents did not respond to various requests for the compliance of the allocation letter initially for a period of six months. It is then contended that the petitioner, thereafter, made several representations and they have not yet been dealt with by the respondents. Therefore, according to him, the petitioner has approached this Court by way of filing the instant writ petition for compliance with the aforementioned allocation letter for the Academic Sessions 2024-2025 or 2025-2026.

5. The Court is unable to accede to the aforementioned prayer made by the petitioner after a lapse of three academic sessions.

6. Admittedly, the allocation was made on 28.04.2022 for the Academic Session 2022-23. Therefore, if the petitioner was not granted admission in the concerned academic session, she should have approached this Court immediately, thereafter, at best for the academic session 2023-24.

7. It is seen that the petitioner did not even take any steps to approach this Court for the academic session 2024-25. Therefore, the Court is of the considered opinion that the petitioner should have filed the petition immediately thereafter if at all, aggrieved.

8. The Court, therefore, finds that after the academic year 2022-23, two academic sessions have also lapsed. At this stage, the instant writ petition cannot be entertained and deserves to be dismissed.

9. Accordingly, the instant petition stands dismissed.

PURUSHAINdra KUMAR KAURAV, J

NOVEMBER 29, 2024

Nc/mjo