



2024:DHC:9610-DB



\$~81

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ W.P.(C) 16492/2024 and CAV 584/2024, CM APPLs.
69696/2024 and 69697/2024

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORSPetitioners

Through: Mrs. Avnish Ahlawat, Standing
Counsel with Mr. Nitesh Kumar Singh, Ms.
Laavanya Kaushik, Ms. Aliza Alam and Mr.
Mohnish Sehrawat, Advocates

versus

VINOD BHARTIRespondent

Through: Mr. M.C. Dhingra, Sr.
Advocate with Mr. Rajeshwar Singh, Mr.
Chaitanya Singh and Ms. Neeraj Singh,
Advocates

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. HARI SHANKAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER (ORAL)

% **12.12.2024**

1. After some hearing, Mrs. Ahlawat, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw this writ petition submitting that she would instruct her client to avail of the liberty granted by the Tribunal to proceed against the respondent/officer in accordance with law. She only prays that proceedings should not be influenced by any observation contained in the impugned judgment.



2024:DHC:9610-DB



2. Subject to the limited caveat that the *de novo* proceedings against the respondent officer, in the event that the petitioner chooses to so proceed, would not be influenced by any observations contained in the impugned judgment, this writ petition is disposed of as withdrawn.

C. HARI SHANKAR, J.

MANOJ JAIN, J.

DECEMBER 12, 2024/yg

Click here to check corrigendum, if any