



\$~R-1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RFA 251/2008**

MID LITE ELECTRICALS PVT. LTD.Appellant

Through: None.

versus

BSES YAMUNA POWER LTD.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Moksh Arora and Mr.
Santosh Ramdurg, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

11.12.2024

%

1. In this file it seen that order dated 20.11.2024 passed in RFA 251/2009 has been uploaded in the digital file of the instant case. Let the registry to take the corrective steps to delete the same and to place it in the correct file.
2. None for the appellant. However, learned counsel for the respondent is present.
3. It is seen from the previous order sheets, that none has appeared for the appellant despite the matters being called out on various dates i.e., 05.11.2024, 18.11.2024, 11.11.2024, 27.11.2024 and 05.12.2024.
4. The daily cause list published on the website of this Court clearly reflects a note for advocates and litigants that regular matters mentioned in the cause list shall be taken up after the supplementary and advance list is over. The physical display Board of this Court as well as the virtual display



Board also clearly reflects that regular matters are being called and taken up for hearing.

5. Despite aforesaid, it is disheartening to see that for the matters listed in the regular list, neither the advocates nor the litigants are appearing before this Court.

6. The Court cannot be oblivious of the fact that due to repeated listing of these cases, wherein, no one appears to pursue the matter, sufficient judicial time and resources are utilized which can effectively and thoughtfully be used for the cases wherein pressing issues of indispensable importance are canvassed before this Court. Because of repeated listing of these cases the other cases are not being called out, causing significant prejudice to the litigants.

7. Be that as it may, this is the sixth date when appellant has not appeared to pursue this case.

8. Therefore, since none appeared on behalf of the appellant, the instant appeal, along with the pending application(s), is dismissed for want of prosecution.

PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV, J

DECEMBER 11, 2024

aks/mjo