



\$~62

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **ARB.P. 1895/2024**

LABOTEK

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr Mihir Garg and Ms Rashi Jain,
Advocates

versus

**DELHI STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE
DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LIMITED & ANR.**

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Harshita Maheshwari & Mr.
Pawan Karan Deo for Ms. Richa
Dhawan, Mr. Anuj Chaturvedi,
Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

06.12.2024

%

1. The Petitioner has approached this Court under Section 11(6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 seeking appointment of an Arbitrator to adjudicate the disputes which have arisen between the parties under the agreement dated 08.04.2015.

2. It is stated that the Petitioner was awarded the work of renovation of Poorva Sanskriti Kendra (PSK) District Centre, Laxmi Nagar, Vikas Marg, New Delhi. It is stated that a claim of Rs.7,00,30,742/- was raised by the Petitioner before the Respondent. It is stated that the claim of the Petitioner was not denied and thereafter the Petitioner took steps under the Dispute Resolution Mechanism (DRC) for adjudicating its claims. It is stated that



since the DRC proceedings concluded without resolution of disputes, the Petitioner has approached this Court by filing the present petition for appointment of an Arbitrator.

3. During the course of hearing, respective Counsel for the parties jointly request that an independent Sole Arbitrator be appointed to adjudicate the disputes between the parties.

4. Accordingly, Justice Mukta Gupta, Former Judge of Delhi High Court, (Mob. No. 9650788600) is appointed as a Sole Arbitrator to adjudicate upon the disputes between the parties.

5. The learned Arbitrator shall be entitled to fees as per the Schedule of Fee under the Arbitration & Conciliation Act, 1996.

6. The learned Arbitrator is also requested to file the requisite disclosure under Section 12(2) of the Arbitration & Conciliation Act, 1996 within a week of entering on reference.

7. All rights and contentions of the parties in relation to the claims/counter-claims are kept open, to be decided by the learned Arbitrator on their merits, in accordance with law.

8. Needless to say, nothing in this order shall be construed as an expression of this Court on the merits of the contentions of the parties.

9. The present petition stands disposed of in the above terms along with pending application(s), if any.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

DECEMBER 6, 2024

hsk