



\$~34

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 3722/2024**

AMIT ARORA AND ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr Saroj Kumar Jha and Mr Yogesh
Sharma, Advs.

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr Anand V Khatri, ASC for State
SI Anil, PS-Seemapuri
Mr Vipin K Sharma, Adv. for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER

%

16.12.2024

1. This is a petition seeking quashing of FIR No. 731/2018, under Sections 498-A/406/34 of IPC & Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, registered at Police Station – Seemapuri and consequential proceedings, if any, emanating therefrom.
2. It is stated that the parties have arrived at a settlement dated 02.03.2024 before the Delhi Mediation Centre, Karkardooma Court, wherein a sum of Rs. 9 lakhs was to be paid to respondent No. 2.
3. Rs 6 lakhs has already been paid and the balance sum of Rs. 3 lakhs has been paid today in Court *vide* DD No. 614359 dated 22.11.2024 drawn on ICICI Bank.
4. There is a minor child born out of the wedlock.
5. Petitioners are present in Court and have been identified by their counsel, Mr Saroj Kumar Jha, Adv. Respondent No. 2 is also present in Court and has been identified by her counsel Mr Vipin K Sharma as well as



by the Investigating Officer SI Anil, PS-Seemapuri.

6. Respondent No. 2 states that she has no objection to the quashing of the present FIR.

7. It is directed that in view of the judgment *Ganesh v. Sudhir Kumar Shrivastava* [(2020) 20 SCC 787], the settlement executed between the petitioners and respondent No. 2, and this order shall have no effect on the rights of child of the parties, who shall be free to avail all legal rights and remedies towards inheritance, maintenance, education, marriage expenses etc. against either of her parents.

8. I am of the opinion that no useful purpose would be served in prosecuting the FIR any further on the ground that the parties have arrived at a voluntary settlement and settled their disputes.

9. I am convinced that quashing of such proceedings on account of compromise would bring about peace and would secure ends of justice. The Court does not see any fruitful purpose if criminal proceedings as permitted to continue any further. It is a fit case for quashing. In this view of the matter, there is no reason to continue the proceedings.

10. In view of the aforesaid, FIR No. 731/2018, under Sections 498-A/406/34 of IPC & Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, registered at PS Seemapuri and consequential proceedings, if any, emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

11. The petition is disposed of.

JASMEET SINGH, J

DECEMBER 16, 2024

sr