



\$~123

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 16425/2024**

MUKESH KUMAR & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: **Mr.Vikram Saini, Advs.**

versus

ALL INDIA COUNCIL FOR TECHNICAL EDUCATION AICTE

.....Respondent

Through: **Mr.Anil soni, SC with Mr.Devvrat
Yadav, Advs.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

% **27.11.2024**

CM APPL. 69362/2024 (Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 16425/2024

1. Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties.
2. Issue notice.
3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent accepts notice.
4. The instant writ petition has been preferred by the petitioners seeking direction to declare the petitioners as qualified *vide* awarding 3 grace marks, i.e. 39.29%, and rounding off to 40% passing marks for validation of degree, and issue fresh/ revised result/certificate.
5. The parties jointly submit that the controversy involved in the instant writ petition stands covered by the decisions of this Court in the cases of



*Shally Gupta v. The Chairman All India Technical Education Counsel*¹ dated 30.08.2024, *Manoj Kumar v. All Indian Council for Technical Education*² dated 08.08.2024 and *Manoj Kumar & Anr. v. All India Council for Technical Education (AICTE)*³ dated 03.08.2023.

5. In view of the aforesaid, the instant writ petition stands allowed. The petitioner is granted similar relief. The respondent is directed to extend the benefit of rounding off the petitioner marks, after giving grace marks, from 39.29% to 40%.

6. Ordered accordingly.

PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV, J

NOVEMBER 27, 2024/MJ

¹ 2024:DHC:6578

² 2024:DHC:6102

³ 2023:DHC:5905