



\$~112

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 16320/2024 & CM APPL. 68768-68769/2024**
YASHDEEP SHARMAPetitioner

Through: Mr. Suraj Prakash, Mr. Mrinal Litoria
and Ms. Priyanka Solanki, Advocates

versus

PUNJAB AND SIND BANKRespondent
Through: Advocate (appearance not given)

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

% **ORDER**
26.11.2024

CM APPL. 68769/2024(exemption)

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

W.P.(C) 16320/2024 & CM APPL. 68768/2024

1. Petitioner seeks quashing of the decision of the respondent-bank whereby the petitioner has been classified as a wilful defaulter.
2. Learned counsel for respondent-bank appears on advance notice and has, at the very outset, submitted that the present petition is premature as the observations contained in order dated 01.10.2024 are merely with respect to the 'classification' by the "*Identification Committee*" of the Bank. It is submitted that matter is yet to be decided by *Review Committee* and, therefore, the grievance raised by the petitioner is misplaced and premature as well.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, in view of the aforesaid statement



made by the learned counsel for respondent-bank, without prejudice to his rights and contentions, seeks to withdraw the present petition with liberty to approach again in case he is eventually declared a *wilful defaulter*.

4. Petition is accordingly disposed of as not pressed.
5. All the rights and contentions of the parties are reserved.

MANOJ JAIN, J

NOVEMBER 26, 2024/dr