



\$~94

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 9133/2024, CRL.M.A. 34999/2024 & CRL.M.A. 35000/2024**

ANAND DATWANIPetitioner
Through: Mr. Sanjay Rathi &
Mr. Rajiv Jain, Advs.

versus

THE STATE GOVT NCT OF DELHI
& ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr. Manoj Pant, APP for
the State
Inspector Ramkesh, PS-
EOW
Mr. Sumer Singh Boparai,
Ms. Bhumi Aggarwal, Mr.
Surya Pratap Singh & Mr.
Arjun Singh, Advs. for R2

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER
22.11.2024

%

1. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the revision petition was dismissed essentially on the ground that the order passed by the learned Magistrate was interlocutory in nature and that the application seeking further investigation was not maintainable when the cognizance itself was not taken in the chargesheet.
2. He submits that the cognizance has since been taken. He seeks liberty to withdraw the present petition and file an appropriate application before the learned Magistrate.
3. The petition stands dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.
4. It is made clear that this Court has not commented on the



merits or on the maintainability of any application that may be filed by the petitioner at any stage and the learned Magistrate is expected to decide the same in accordance with law.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

NOVEMBER 22, 2024

“SS”