



\$~54 & 55

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 380/2024, CM APPL. 67774/2024-Stay, CM APPL. 67775/2024-Exp, CM APPL. 67776/2024-Delay 116 days

NAVEEN KUMAR

.....Appellant

Through: Ms. Puja Jakhar, Mr. Harshit Prakash
and Mr. Krishan Chauhan, Advs.

versus

KAVITA

.....Respondent

Through: None

+ MAT.APP.(F.C.) 381/2024, CM APPL. 67777/2024-Stay, CM APPL. 67778/2024-Exp, CM APPL. 67779/2024-Delay 116 days

MANOJ KUMAR

.....Appellant

Through: Ms. Puja Jakhar, Mr. Harshit Prakash
and Mr. Krishan Chauhan, Advs.

versus

SANGEETA

.....Respondent

Through: None

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

21.11.2024

%

1. At the outset, it has been put to the learned counsel for the appellant as to how are the present appeals under Section 19 of the Family Courts Act maintainable before this Court.
2. After some arguments, learned counsel for the appellant submits that



instead of pressing the present appeals, the appellant be granted the liberty will avail of other appropriate remedy as per law for challenging the impugned order(s).

3. The appeals alongwith the pending applications are, as prayed for, disposed of as not pressed with liberty to the appellant to assail the impugned order(s) by way of appropriate remedies available as per law.

4. Further we make it clear that since we have not expressed any opinion on the grounds raised in either of the two appeals, it will be open for the appellant to raise all grounds permissible in law including the grounds raised in the present appeals in any fresh proceedings which the appellant choose to initiate.

REKHA PALLI, J

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

NOVEMBER 21, 2024/rr