



\$~112

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 3610/2024**

AMARDEEP DHAKA

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. D. K. Sharma, Advocate.**

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: **Mr. Rahul Tyagi, ASC for the State
along with Investigating Officer.**

**Ms. Manisha Yadav and Mr. Rahul
Gahlot, Advocates for R-2.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA DHARI SINGH

ORDER

19.11.2024

%

CRL.M.A. 34543/2024 (Exemption).

1. Exemption allowed subject to just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

W.P.(CRL) 3610/2024.

3. The instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, 1950 read with Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") has been filed by the petitioners praying for quashing of FIR bearing No. 0331/2022 dated 13th June, 2022 registered at Police Station - Sagarpur, New Delhi, for offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter "IPC").
4. The brief facts of the case are that the marriage between the petitioner no. 1 and respondent no.2 got solemnized on 21st February, 2016 at Dashrathpur, New Delhi according to Hindu rites and ceremonies but due to



some temperamental differences between them, they started living separately since March, 2019. No child was born out of their wedlock.

5. Despite several efforts of reconciliation, both the parties could not settle the differences. The respondent no.2 submitted a complaint with the CAW Cell, Saket, New Delhi on 24th February, 2022 which led to the registration of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioner on 24th February, 2022.

6. With the intervention of family members and relatives, both the parties entered into settlement vide Settlement Agreement dated 19th July, 2022. The terms and conditions of the said settlement are mentioned in the Settlement Agreement which is annexed as Annexure-B to the petition.

7. The petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2 filed a joint petition under Section 13-B (1) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 (hereinafter “HMA”) before learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Patiala House Courts, New Delhi, which allowed the first motion of divorce on 15th October, 2022 and vide judgment/order 17th May, 2023, the parties were granted decree of divorce under Section 13-B (2) of the HMA.

8. It is submitted that respondent no.2 has settled all her claims in respect of her dowry articles, stridhan, marriage expenses, jewellery, gift items and claims of past, present and future maintenance with the petitioner for a sum of Rs.6,00,000/- and all disputes of any nature whatsoever, out of which the remaining amount of Rs.2,50,000/- was agreed to be paid at the time of quashing of the FIR. It is submitted that the respondent no. 2 has already received a sum of Rs. 3,50,000/- as per the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

9. The petitioner no. 1 has handed over a Demand Draft bearing



No.788816 for the balance amount of Rs.2,50,000/- dated 1st October, 2024 in the name of respondent no.2 today in the Court. The respondent no.2 has verified the particulars of the Demand Draft to her satisfaction and stated them to be correct.

10. All the petitioners are present in-person before this Court. They have been identified by their counsel, Mr. D.K. Sharma, Advocate and Investigating Officer, Police Station Sagarpur. The respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by her counsel and the Investigating Officer.

11. On the query made by this Court, respondent no.2 has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise on her own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by respondent no.2 that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties. The parties also undertook that they shall abide the terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement arrived at between the parties.

12. It is prayed that the instant FIR be quashed on the basis of Settlement Agreement dated 19th July, 2022 and as per the Judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab, (2012) 10 SCC 303*** and ***Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbai vs. State of Gujarat, (2017) 9 SCC 641***.

13. Learned APP for the State submitted that there is no opposition to the prayer made on behalf of the petitioners seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

14. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

15. The instant criminal proceedings in respect of non-compoundable offences are private in nature and do not have a serious impact on the



society especially when there is a settlement/compromise between victim and accused. In such cases, it is settled law that High Court is also required to consider the conduct and antecedents of the accused in order to ascertain that the settlement has been entered into by her own free will and has not been imposed upon her by the petitioner or any person related to him. In the present case, the complainant is present in Court and has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise and settled the entire disputes amicably with the petitioners by her own free will without any pressure or coercion. There is also no allegation from respondent no.2 that the conduct and antecedents of petitioners have been bad towards her after the compromise.

16. In the case of ***State of Madhya Pradesh vs. Laxmi Narayan and Ors., (2019) 5 SCC 688***, the Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the powers conferred under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C, can be exercised by the Courts to quash the criminal proceedings featuring non-compoundable offences, when the matter arises out of matrimonial or family disputes. Moreover, it is pertinent to satisfy the Court that the said non-compoundable offences are private in nature and does not have a serious impact on the society.

17. Furthermore, it was observed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Ramgopal and Ors. Vs. The State of Madhya Pradesh, 2021 INSC 568***, that the extraordinary power enjoined upon the High Courts under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. can be invoked even when such a case falls within the ambit of non-compoundable offences given that the Court must be satisfied that the nature of the offence does not impact the conscious of the society and that the compromise between the parties is voluntary and amicable.



18. In the instant case, as stated above, the parties have reached on the compromise and amicably settled the entire disputes without any pressure.

19. In view of the settlement arrived at between the parties and the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the present petition is allowed. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 0331/2022 dated 13th June, 2022 registered at Police Station Sagarpur, New Delhi, for offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of the IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

20. The petition alongwith pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

CHANDRA DHARI SINGH, J

NOVEMBER 19, 2024

Rk/mk

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)