



\$~127

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CONT.CAS(C) 1799/2024 & CM APPL. 66558/2024**
YOGITAPetitioner

Through: Ms. Sumitra Choudhary with Mr.M.K.
Raghav Raman, Ms. Niya Sharma,
Ms. Jasmine Sheikh and Mr. Aditya
Choudhary, Advocates.

versus

KRISHAN (VIKASH)Respondent
Through: None

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER
22.11.2024

%

1. Petitioner seeks initiation of contempt proceedings against her husband for not adhering to the directions regarding payment of maintenance.
2. When asked, learned counsel for the petitioner, very fairly, admitted that an execution petition has already been filed, which is now listed before the concerned Court on 27th Instant. She, however, submits that a parallel remedy can also be availed.
3. However, after hearing arguments for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that she would be satisfied, at the moment, if the learned Executing Court is requested to expedite the execution petition. However, she also states that her right to approach this Court again seeking initiation of contempt may also be left open.
4. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as not



pressed.

5. All the rights and contentions of the parties are left open.
6. Learned Executing Court is requested to dispose of the above said execution petition as expeditiously as possible.
7. Needless to say, in view of the above statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner would still be at liberty to approach this Court again seeking initiation of contempt proceedings.

MANOJ JAIN, J

NOVEMBER 22, 2024
st