



\$~57

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 8856/2024 and CRL.M.A. 33885/2024**

ADITYA BANSAL & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr.Jagdeepp Sharma, Mr.Ankit Tyagi,
Ms.Kanta Sharma and Mr.Raj Kumar, Advocates
with petitioners in person

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr.Shaoib Haider, APP for State with
SI Rahul Ranjan
Mr.Mohd. Zahid and Mr.B.K. Pandey, Advocates
for respondent No.2 with respondent No.2 in
person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

13.11.2024

%

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.271/2023 registered under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC and Sections 3/4 of D.P. Act at P.S. Harsh Vihar, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.

2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioners wherein, petitioner No.1 is the husband and petitioner Nos.2 to 8 are the in-laws of the complainant.



3. Learned APP for the State submits that the petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No.2 is the complainant/victim in the present case.
4. Learned counsels for the parties submit that the parties have settled their dispute vide Memorandum of Settlement/MOU dated 07.02.2024. It is stated that petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated 27.03.2024 passed by learned Judge, Family Court, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi in HMA No.572/2024. It is further submitted that out of the total settlement amount, the balance amount of Rs.2,50,000/- is being paid today through a demand draft bearing No.931062 dated 19.10.2024 drawn on Punjab National Bank.
5. The petitioners and respondent No.2, who are present in the Court, have been identified by their respective counsels and the Investigating Officer.
6. Respondent No.2 states that she has entered into the aforesaid Memorandum of Settlement/MOU out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed subject to encashment of the aforesaid demand draft.
7. Learned counsels for the parties submit that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.
8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.
9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the



aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed subject to encashment of the aforesaid demand draft.

10. The petition is disposed of in the above terms alongwith the pending application.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

NOVEMBER 13, 2024

na