



\$~65

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 5670/2024 & CRL.M.As 21656-57/2024**

RAHEB AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Bhushan Pawar, Mr. Zainul
Abedeen, Mr. Athar Abbas Zaidi,
Advocates with petitioners in person.

versus

**THE STATE (GOVT. OF NCT
OF DELHI) AND ANR**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State
with SI Lalit Jakhar PS Maidan Garhi
and ASI Satbir Singh PS DIU South
Mr. Nadeem Ahmed, Mr. S.
Khursheed Alam and Mr. Mohd.
Aquil, Advocates for respondent No.2
with respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

%

25.07.2024

1. The present petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioners seeking quashing of FIR No. 0056/2021 registered under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC at P.S. Maidan Garhi, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No. 1 (husband) and petitioner Nos. 2 to 8 are the mother-in-law, brothers-in-law, and uncle-in-law and other relatives of the complainant.
3. Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, learned APP for the State, submits that in the present case the petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim.
4. Learned counsels for the parties submit that after ironing out their



differences the parties have settled their disputes and are happily living together since January, 2022.

5. The petitioners and respondent No. 2 are identified by their respective counsels as well as by the Investigating Officer/ SI Lalit Jakhar PS Maidan Garhi and ASI Satbir Singh PS DIU South

6. Respondent No. 2 states that she has been happily living with her husband i.e., petitioner No.1 since January 2022 and as of now she has no complaint against her husband. She further states that she is giving this statement out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She also states that she has no objection if the present FIR and the consequent proceedings are quashed.

7. Learned counsels for the parties submit that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements and undertaking made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

10. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of along with pending applications.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

JULY 25, 2024/rd