



\$~48

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 3493/2024

MADAN PRASAD YADAV

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Gaurav Aggarwal, Advocate

versus

STATE OF DELHI THROUGH SHO P.S-LAHORI GATE AND
ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Ms.Nandita Rao, ASC for State with
SI Sanjay Kr.

Ms.Akansha, Advocate for complainant

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

08.11.2024

%

1. By way of present petition, the petitioner, who is the uncle of the deceased, alleges tardy and unfair investigation in the matter relating to the death of his niece. The FIR has been registered under Sections 108/85 of BNS, 2023.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that during the inquest proceedings only the statement of the uncle has been recorded so far. It is the grievance of the petitioner that till date statements of even the family members of the deceased have not been recorded.

3. Issue notice.

4. Learned ASC for the State accepts notice and on instructions from the IO, submits that though the IO has made efforts to contact the parents of the deceased, who live in Jharkhand, however, they have not cooperated.



5. Learned counsel for the petitioner disputes the aforesaid submissions.
6. Considering that the case pertains to the death of a deceased within two years of marriage, without delving into the respective contentions of the either of the parties or on the investigation, it is deemed apposite to direct that the investigation be transferred to the Crime Branch forthwith.
7. In view of the above, the petition is disposed of.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

NOVEMBER 8, 2024
na