



\$~52

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 15348/2024 & CM APPLs. 64374-75/2024**

RAMA SHANKAR & ANR.Petitioners
Through: Mr. Madhu Sudan Bhayana, Mr.
Suresh Chaudhari, Mr. Naveen,
Mr. Madhav, Advocates.

versus

TATA POWER DELHI DISTRIBUTION LIMITED
& ORS.Respondents
Through: Mr. Avishkar Singhvi, Mr. Naved
Ahmed, Mr. Vivek K. Singh, Mr.
Shubham Kumar, Advocates for R-
3.
Mr. Prashant Mehta, Mr. Pranav
Singh, Advocates for R-4.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

%

04.11.2024

1. After some hearing, Mr. Madhu Sudan Bhayana, learned counsel for the petitioners, states that the petitioners intend to challenge the constitutionality of Section 127(2) of the Electricity Act, 2003, to the extent that it requires the pre-deposit for hearing of an appeal under the said provision.
2. The writ petition, alongwith pending applications, is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioners to file a writ petition in the aforesaid terms, on the same cause of action as agitated in this writ petition.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

NOVEMBER 4, 2024/“Bhupi/