



\$~45

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 8575/2024, CRL.M.A. 32738/2024**

CHAMAN LAL AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Rahat Bansal, Mr. Garvit Vinayak, Mr. Avneet Chaudhary and Ms. Pooja Sharma, Advocates with petitioners in person.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, APP for State with ASI Shah Alam, P.S. Burari. Ms. Kirti Sejwal, Advocate for respondent No.2 with respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

29.10.2024

%

1. The present petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioners seeking quashing of FIR No. 282/2024 registered under Sections 498-A/406/34 IPC at P.S. Burari, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No. 1 (husband) and petitioner Nos. 2 to 10 are in-laws of the complainant.
3. Ld. APP for the State submits that in the present case petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim.
4. It is submitted that parties have settled their disputes vide Memorandum of Understanding/Compromise dated 28.05.2024, a copy of which has been placed on record. In terms of the settlement, marriage



between the parties has already been dissolved vide decree of divorce by mutual consent dated 08.10.2024 passed by Family Court, Central District, Tis Hazari Court, Delhi in HMA No. 1525/2024. In terms of the settlement, petitioner No.1 has paid a sum of Rs.15,00,000/- as full and final settlement to respondent No. 2 towards all her claims qua maintenance, stridhan, alimony, etc.

5. Petitioners and respondent No.2, who are present in Court, have been identified by their respective counsel as well as by I.O./ASI Shah Alam, P.S. Burari.

6. Respondent No. 2 states that she has settled her disputes with petitioner No.1 out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She also acknowledges the receipt of entire settled amount and submits that she has no objection in case the FIR is quashed against the petitioners

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements and undertaking made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

10. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of with pending application.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

OCTOBER 29, 2024/ga