



\$~92

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CM(M) 3749/2024 & CM APPL. 63969-63970/2024

ABHISHEK KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Angad Mehta with Mr. Arsh,
Advocates.

versus

M G MOBILE INDIA PVT LTD & ANR. & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Gautam Singhal with Mr. Rajat
Chaudhary and Mr. Bhilashi Chopra,
Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

29.10.2024

%

1. Learned counsel for respondent appears on advance notice and accepts notice.
2. After hearing arguments for some time, learned counsel for plaintiff/petitioner, without prejudice to his rights and contentions, submits that he does not press the present petition and as observed by learned Trial Court he would move fresh application within two weeks from today seeking *summary judgment*.
3. It is though apprised that issues have already been framed.
4. However, in view of the specific observation and liberty, given by the learned Trial Court itself, as reflected in order dated 21.09.2024, the present petition is disposed of with the direction that, in case, any such application is moved afresh within two weeks from today, learned Trial Court, before proceeding any further with the matter, would consider the above said application and dispose it of in accordance with law.
5. The petition stands disposed of as not pressed.

MANOJ JAIN, J

OCTOBER 29, 2024/sw