



\$~108

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 15216/2024**

MRIDUL RAWAT

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Alok Gupta and Mr. Mohan S.
Rawat, Advocates.

Versus

**DELHI SKILL AND ENTREPRENEURSHIP UNIVERSITY
& ANR.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Shivendra Singh, Advocate for
Respondent No.1/DSEU.

Ms. Avnish Ahlawat, Standing Counsel with
Mr.N.K. Singh, Ms. Laavanya Kaushik, Ms. Aliza
Alam and Mr. Amitoj Chadha, Advocates for
Respondent No.2/DTTE.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI SINGH

ORDER

29.10.2024

%

CM APPL. 63906/2024

1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 15216/2024 and CM APPL. 63905/2024

3. This writ petition has been preferred on behalf of the Petitioner under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India seeking a declaration that the action of the Respondents in non-extension of the contractual service of the Petitioner beyond 30.04.2024 and adopting a pick and choose policy is illegal and arbitrary. Direction is also sought for regularising the services of Petitioner after reinstating him.



4. Learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that Petitioner has been working with Respondent No.1 from 01.03.2013 as a contractual Lecturer (Electrical) and has given no cause of complaint. His contract was extended from time to time and lastly upto 30.04.2024 by Respondent No.1, however without any justifiable reason and ignoring the dedicated and long service rendered by the Petitioner, his contract has been illegally discontinued.

5. Issue notice.

6. Learned counsels, as aforesaid, accept notice on behalf of respective Respondents.

7. Learned counsel for Respondent No.1 fairly states, on instructions, that, at this stage, the writ petition be disposed of with a direction to Respondent No.1 to treat this writ petition as a representation and that a decision shall be taken within a period of four weeks from today.

8. Accordingly, without entering into the merits of the case, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to Respondent No.1 to treat this writ petition as a representation and take a decision with respect to the extension of the contract of the Petitioner and/or regularisation of his services. The decision shall be taken within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of this order and needless to state that the Competent Authority of Respondent No.1 shall take into consideration all factual and legal issues flagged by the Petitioner in the present writ petition as well as his long, uninterrupted and unblemished service rendered with Respondent No.1 from 01.03.2013. In case, the decision is in favour of the Petitioner, effect shall be given to the same forthwith. If the decision is otherwise, a reasoned and speaking order shall be passed, which will be communicated to the Petitioner within one week from the date of the decision, who will be at



liberty to take recourse to legal remedies, if so advised.

9. Pending application stands disposed of.

JYOTI SINGH, J

OCTOBER 29, 2024/*B.S. Rohella*