



\$~75

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 8512/2024**

TUSHAR DEY AND ANR

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. TArun Goomber, Mr.Rajan Sharma,
Mr.Jitender, Ms.Priti and Mr.Vineet, Advocates
with petitioners in person

versus

STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.Respondents

Through: Ms.Rupali Bandhopadhya, ASC for
State with ASI Shamsheer Singh and
SI Dharamveer
Ms.Tanishq Mehta and Mr.Daksha Kumar,
Advocates for respondent No.2 with respondent
No.2 through V.C.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

%

25.10.2024

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.448/2022 registered under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC at P.S. Chhawla, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioners wherein, petitioner No.1 is the husband and petitioner No.2 is the mother-in-law of the complainant.
3. Learned APP for the State submits that the petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No.2 is the complainant/victim in the



present case. He further submits that the chargesheet in the present case has been filed.

4. Learned counsels for the parties submit that the parties have settled their dispute on 12.02.2024 before Delhi Mediation Centre, Dwarka Courts, New Delhi. It is stated that petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated 01.06.2024 passed by learned Judge, Family Court, Dwarka, Delhi in HMA No.1642/2024. It is further submitted that out of the total settlement amount, the balance amount of Rs.4,25,000/- is being paid today through a demand draft bearing No.511302 dated 21.10.2024 drawn on Bank of India.

5. The petitioners, who are present in the Court and respondent No.2, who have joined the proceedings through V.C., have been identified by their respective counsels and the concerned Investigating Officer.

6. Respondent No.2 states that she has entered into the aforesaid mediation settlement out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed subject to encashment of the aforesaid demand draft.

7. Learned counsels for the parties submit that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed subject to encashment of the aforesaid demand draft.



10. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

DASTI.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

OCTOBER 25, 2024

na