



\$~8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ W.P.(C) 3040/2013 and CM APPL. 11263/2013, CM APPL. 5814/2022, CM APPL. 8018/2022, CM APPL. 47304/2022, CM APPL. 24855/2024

SHIV DUTT

.....Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Manika Tripathy, Mr. Barun Dey and Mr. Naveen Saraswat, Advs. for R-1/DDA
Mr. Sunil Dalal, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Naresh K. Daksh and Ms. Muskan Daksh, Advs. for R-2 and R-3
Mr. Pankaj Vivek and Mr. Himanshu Chugh, Advs. for R-4

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DHARMESH SHARMA

ORDER

%

10.07.2024

1. As per the office report, Court Notice has been served upon the petitioner, but none appeared on behalf of the petitioner when the matter was called.
2. Learned counsel for respondent No.1/DDA has alluded to the letter dated 16.12.2021 (Annexure A-4) in CM APPL. 8018/2022, whereby, the competent authority has come to the conclusion that the predecessor in interest i.e. father of the petitioner was not eligible for allotment of an alternative plot.



3. It appears that the petitioner is no longer interested in pursuing the present remedy. The present petition is dismissed for non-appearance and non-prosecution.

DHARMESH SHARMA, J.

JULY 10, 2024

sp