



\$~53 & 54

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 8443/2024 CRL.M.A. 32214/2024

54

+ CRL.M.C. 8464/2024 CRL.M.A. 32282/2024

SOURABH SAVITA & ORS.Petitioners

Through: Mr. Sunil Kumar & Mr. Rahil
Kumar, Advs. with petitioners in
person

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI & ORS.Respondent

Through: Mr. Digam Singh Dagar, APP for
State with SI Sanjay Bansal, PS
Bhajanpura
Ms. Sandhya Gupta, Adv. for R-2
with R-2 in person

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER
07.11.2024

%

1. These petitions have been filed seeking quashing of FIR No.273/2018 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC and Section 3/4 Dowry Prohibition Act 1961, PS Bhajanpura, Delhi and FIR No.664/2017 under Sections 323/341/354/34 IPC, PS Nihal Vihar Delhi, on the basis of settlement arrived at between the parties dated 4th September 2024, which is on record of this Court.

2. Petitioners and respondents/complainants in both these petitions are present in Court and are duly identified by IO and the respective counsels.

3. As per the settlement, an outstanding balance of Rs.7 lakhs is being paid today *vide* DD no. 902155 for Rs. 2.5 lakhs drawn on PNB and an



FDR for Rs. 4.5 lakhs also drawn on PNB, both of which have been handed over to respondent no.2, who has duly received it in Court. Respondents/complainants state that they have no objection to quashing of the FIR.

4. The marriage of petitioner no.1 and respondent no. 2 has resulted in divorce by decree dated 24th April 2024. One daughter was born out of wedlock.

5. Considering the above settlement between the parties and the chances of conviction of the petitioners being remote and bleak, there is no use continuing with proceedings of the aforementioned FIRs as it would be a misuse of the process of the Court and an unnecessary burden on the State exchequer. Accordingly, the petitions are allowed. Consequently, FIR No.273/2018 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC and Section 3/4 Dowry Prohibition Act, PS Bhajanpura, Delhi and FIR No.664/2017 under Sections 323/341/354/34 IPC, PS Nihal Vihar, Delhi and proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

6. Parties shall abide by the terms of the settlement.

7. Accordingly, both the petitions are disposed of. Pending applications are disposed of as infructuous.

8. It is however made clear that the said settlement will not affect the rights of the minor child in future.

9. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

NOVEMBER 7, 2024/sm