



\$~90, 100 & 101

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 8372/2024**

JUNAID JAVED

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Syed Ajmal Hasan and Mr. Rahul Rohatgi, Advs.

versus

THE STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondent

Through: Ms Meenakshi Dahiya, APP for State SI Anupam, PS Jama Masjid
Mr. Mohd. Asif, Mr. Asif Malik, Ms. Rajesh Wali, Advs. for R-2.

100

+ **W.P.(CRL) 3316/2024**

ADEEBA ASIF

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mohd. Asif, Mr. Asif Malik, Ms. Rajeshwari, Advs.

versus

THE STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondent

Through: Mr. Amol Sinha, ASC for State with Mr Kshitiz Garg, Mr Ashvini Kumar and Ms Chavi Lazarus, Advs.
SI Abad Haider, PS Saket

101

+ **W.P.(CRL) 3341/2024**

JUNAID JAVED & ORS.

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Syed Ajmal Hasan and Mr. Rahul Rohatgi, Advs.

versus

THE STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI) & ANR.Respondent

Through: Mr Yasir Rauf Ansari, ASC for State SI Pardeep Malik, PS-Jamia Nagar
Mr. Mohd. Asif, Mr. Asif Malik, Ms. Rajeshwari for R2, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER

11.11.2024

%



1. These are petitions seeking quashing of the following FIRs:-
 - A.FIR bearing No. 0182 of 2022 under section 4 of Muslim Women (Protection of Rights on Marriage) Act, 2019, PS Jama Masjid, Delhi,
 - B.FIR No. 0500/2023 under Section 420/467/468 of IPC, PS Saket, South District, New Delhi, and
 - C.FIR No. 0289 of 2021 under section 498A/406/34 of IPC registered at PS Jamia Nagar, South East Delhi.
2. During the pendency of the proceedings, the parties have arrived at a settlement dated 11.09.2024 before the Mediation Centre, Saket Court wherein all the cases have been settled and the complainant Ms. Adeeba Asif has to be paid Rs.6,50,000/- in all.
3. A sum of Rs. 4,50,000 was paid already and balance sum of Rs.2,00,000/- is paid today by way of Demand Draft.
4. The parties are already divorced as per Islamic Law.
5. Mr. Junaid Javed is present in Court and is identified by his counsel Mr. Syed Ajmal Hasan and Mr. Rahul Rohatgi and Ms. Adeeba Asif is present in Court and identified by Mr. Mohd. Asif, Adv.
6. Since the parties have arrived at a settlement and no disputes are pending, I am convinced that quashing of such proceedings on account of compromise would bring peace and would secure ends of justice. The Court does not see any fruitful purpose if criminal proceedings are permitted to be prosecuted any further.
7. In this view of the matter, there is no reason to continue the proceedings. Hence, the aforesaid FIRs and the consequential



proceedings arising therefrom are quashed.

8. Ms. Adeeba Asif who is in present in Court today has been cautioned with regard to the sanctity of the judicial process and the importance and relevance of the documents filed in Court.
9. With these observations, the petitions are disposed of.

NOVEMBER 11, 2024/sp

JASMEET SINGH, J

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)