



\$~69

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 8360/2024

RAVI VERMA & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr.Nitin, Advocate with petitioners in person

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr.Shoaib Haider, APP for State with SI Pankaj Kumar

Respondent No.2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

%

22.10.2024

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.11/2021 registered under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC at P.S. Jyoti Nagar, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No.1 (husband) whereas petitioner Nos.2 to 3 are the in-laws of the complainant.
3. Learned APP for the State submits that the petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have settled their dispute vide Settlement Deed dated 23.03.2024 before Counselling Cell, Family Court, Karkardooma, Shahadara, Delhi. It is stated that petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated 08.07.2024 passed by Family



Court, Karkardooma, Shahdara, Delhi in HMA No.1187/2024. It is further submitted that out of the settlement amount, the balance amount of Rs.2,50,000/- is being paid today through a demand draft bearing No.367485 dated 17.10.2024 drawn on Canara Bank. In terms of the settlement, respondent No.2 is now left with no claim whatsoever against the petitioners.

5. Petitioner No.1, who is present in Court, states that the rights of the minor child, who is in the custody of respondent No.2, shall remain unaffected by the terms of the settlement arrived at between the parties. In acknowledgement of the said statement, petitioner No.1 and his counsel have signed the order sheets.

6. The petitioners, who are present in the Court, have been identified by their counsel and the Investigating Officer. Respondent No.2, who is present in the Court, has also been identified by the Investigating Officer.

7. Respondent No.2 states that she has entered into the aforesaid Settlement Deed out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed subject to encashment of the aforesaid demand draft.

8. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

9. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

10. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the



aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed subject to encashment of the aforesaid demand draft.

11. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

OCTOBER 22, 2024

na