



\$~57

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 14742/2024**

SHIVAKANT MISHRA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Amit Saxena, DHCLSC
with Mr. Sharon John,
Advocate.

versus

**MUNICIPAL CORPORATION
DELHI AND ANR.**

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Tajinder Viridi, SC for
MCD with Ms. Ashu Jain and
Ms. Ayushi Bisht, Advocates
for MCD.

Mr. Rajkumar, SPC with Mr.
Rishesh Mani Tripathi,
Government Pleader.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHWANT VARMA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVINDER DUDEJA

ORDER

%

21.10.2024

CM APPL. 61904/2024 (exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

This application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 14742/2024 & CM APPL. 61905/2024 (interim relief)

1. The writ petitioner has approached this Court seeking the following reliefs:-

“a. Issue a writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ directing the respondents to permit the petitioner to peacefully carry on his livelihood though his vending/ business;

b. Issue a writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ directing the respondents Not to harass the petitioner by constantly



confiscating his goods/items and destroying them and not to create unnecessary hurdles/problems for the petitioner from peacefully carrying out his livelihood;

c. Pass any such directions or order that this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the above-mentioned case.”

2. As we go through the writ petition, we find that there is not a single allegation laid either against the Municipal Corporation of Delhi or the Delhi Police or any of their authorities having impeded the activity of vending of the writ petitioner or any harassment being caused.

3. In view thereof, we find no justification to entertain the writ petition which shall consequently stand dismissed.

4. This order, however, shall be, without prejudice to the right of the writ petitioner, to approach the Court again, if circumstances so warrant.

YASHWANT VARMA, J.

RAVINDER DUDEJA, J.

OCTOBER 21, 2024/vp