



\$~87

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 8296/2024**

SH. DEEPAK SINGHAL & ANR.Petitioners

Through: **Mr. Gopal Krishna Mangla, Advocate**
with petitioners in person.

versus

GOVT. OF NCT DELHI & ANR.Respondents

Through: **Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, APP for State**
alongwith **SI Sumit Dahiya, PS Aman**
Vihar.

Ms. Poonam Saha, Advocate for
respondent No.2 with respondent
No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

% **21.10.2024**

1. By way of present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.195/2014 registered under Sections 498A/406/506 IPC and Section 4, Dowry Prohibition Act, at P.S. Aman Vihar, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.

2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No.1 (husband), whereas petitioner No.2 is the mother-in-law of the complainant.

3. Learned APP for the State submits that the chargesheet has been filed in the present case. Further, it is submitted that the petitioners are the only accused persons against whom the chargesheet has been filed and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have amicably settled their disputes vide Settlement/Agreement dated 17.12.2022 arrived at between petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2, before Delhi Mediation Centre, Rohini District Courts, Delhi. It is stated that in terms of the settlement, the parties have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated 05.09.2023 in HMA No. 2022/2023. It is further submitted that respondent No. 2 is now left with no claim whatsoever against the petitioners.

5. The said agreement stipulates that the rights of the minor child, who is in the custody of respondent No.2, as available under the law, shall not be affected by the settlement arrived at between the parties. The petitioner No.1, who is present in the Court, reiterates the same.

6. The petitioners and respondent No. 2. who are present in Court, have been identified by their respective counsels and by the I.O./ SI Sumit Dahiya, PS Aman Vihar.

7. Respondent No. 2 states that she has entered into the aforesaid mediation settlement/agreement out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

8. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

9. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

10. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the



aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed subject to encashment of the aforesaid demand draft.

11. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

OCTOBER 21, 2024

akc