



\$~121

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 3204/2024**

ANKIT SANGWANPetitioner

Through: Mr. Sumit S. Gehlot and
Mr. T.S. Thakran, Advs.
Petitioner in person.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Anand V. Khatri, ASC
for the State with SI
Parveen, PS South
Campus.
Mr. Atul Babbar, Adv. for
R-2.
R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

% **15.10.2024**

**CRL.M.A. 31006/2024 (exemption from filing legible / typed
copies of annexures / documents)**

1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

W.P.(CRL) 3204/2024 & CRL.M.A. 31005/2024

3. The present petition is filed seeking quashing of FIR No. 138/2024 dated 05.09.2024, registered at Police Station South Campus, for offences under Sections 115(2)/126(2)/351(2)/79 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS). The FIR was registered on a complaint made by Respondent No. 2. The chargesheet has been filed in the present case.
4. It is averred that due to some misunderstanding, an altercation took place between the parties where Respondent

W.P.(CRL) 3204/2024

Page 1 of 3



No.2 sustained certain injuries. It is alleged that the petitioner threatened Respondent No.2 and also stopped his car in front of the car of Respondent No.2. It is alleged that the petitioner called 4-5 of his friends who even made a video of the incident. The incident led to the registration of the aforementioned FIR.

5. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the FIR was registered on a misunderstanding and the parties have since amicably resolved their dispute.

6. He submits that the petitioner has tendered an unconditional apology for his behaviour and he undertakes to not indulge in such activities in the future.

7. The present petition has been filed on the ground that the parties have amicably settled all their disputes by way of Settlement Agreement dated 23.09.2024, on their own free will, without any force, fraud or pressure.

8. The parties are present in Court and have been duly identified by the Investigating Officer.

9. Respondent No.2, on being asked, states that she does not wish to pursue the proceedings arising out of the present FIR and she has no objection if the same is quashed.

10. She states that she has no remaining grievance against the petitioner and she is satisfied with the apology tendered by him.

11. Offences under Sections 115(2)/126(2)/351(2)/79 of the IPC are compoundable in nature.

12. This Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose would be served by relegating the parties to the learned Trial Court for filing an application to compound the offence.

13. Keeping in view the nature of dispute and that the parties have amicably entered into a settlement, this Court feels that no



useful purpose would be served by keeping the dispute alive and continuance of the proceedings would amount to abuse of the process of Court.

14. In view of the above, FIR No. 138/2024 and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed.

15. The present petition is allowed in aforesaid terms.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

OCTOBER 15, 2024

'KD'