



\$~97

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 8088/2024**

YEESHU CHAWLA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr.Rajan, Advocate

versus

THE STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr.Yudhvir Singh Chauhan, APP for
the State alongwith SI P.Buno, PS
Madhu Vihar
Mr.Sunny, Advocate for R-
2/complainant

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA DHARI SINGH

ORDER

% **15.10.2024**

CRL.M.A. 30926/2024 (Exemption)

Exemption allowed subject to just exceptions.

The application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 8088/2024

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") has been filed by the petitioner praying for quashing of FIR bearing No.153/2021 registered at Police Station Madhu Vihar, for offences punishable under Sections 354A/323/506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter "IPC").

2. The petitioner is present before this Court and has been identified by his counsel Mr.Rajan, Enrol. No. D/11489/2023 and Investigating Officer SI



P.Buno, Police Station Madhu Vihar. The respondent No.2/complainant is also present in the Court and has been identified by her counsel Mr.Sunny, Enrol No. D/10395/2023 and the Investigating Officer.

3. On the query made by this Court, respondent no.2/complainant has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise on her own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by respondent No.2 that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties.

4. The brief facts of the case are that on 18th February, 2020, the marriage between the petitioner and the sister of the respondent No.2 was solemnized, according to Hindu Rites and customs. Due to temperamental issues between the petitioner and the sister of the respondent No.2, they started residing separately from each other. On 4th June, 2021, the aforesaid FIR was registered by the respondent No.2 against the petitioner.

5. Since both the parties are relatives as the respondent No.2 herein is the sister-in-law of the petitioner, thus, with the intervention of family members and relatives, both the parties entered into settlement on 10th September, 2024. The terms and conditions of the said settlement are mentioned in the settlement deed which is annexed as Annexure P-4 to the petition.

6. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the compromise as per the Judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in **Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab, (2012) 10 SCC 303**. At this juncture, the petitioner appearing in-person also undertakes to not repeat the same conduct in the future.

7. Mr. Yudhvir Singh Chauhan, learned APP for the State has no opposition to the prayer made on behalf of the petitioner seeking quashing



of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

8. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

9. Keeping in view the fact that parties have settled the matter as well as undertaking given by the petitioner, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending. Hence, FIR bearing No. 153/2021 registered at Police Station Madhu Vihar, for offences punishable under Sections 354A/323/506/34 of the IPC and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

10. The petition stands disposed of.

CHANDRA DHARI SINGH, J

OCTOBER 15, 2024

Dy/mk

Click here to check corrigendum, if any