



\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **LPA 1015/2024**

VIRENDER KUMAR

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Pranav Sachdeva, Mr.
Sravan Kumar, Mr. Akshay
Mann, Mr. P. Santhosh Kumar
& Mr. Shivang Singh, Advs.

versus

**AMATEUR KABADDI FEDERATION
OF INDIA & ORS.**

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Nandita Rao & Mr. Kunal
Prakash, Advs. for R-1.
Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, Mr.
Sandeep Sethi, Sr. Advs. with
Ms. Ruby Ahuja, Mr. Siddharth
Chopra, Mr. Nitin Sharma, Ms.
Swikriti Singhania, Mr. Ranjeet
Singh Sidhu, Ms. Srishti
Kumar, Ms. Rimjhim Tiwari,
Ms. Vasu Singh & Ms. Riya
Kumar, Advs. for R-3.
Mr. Anil Soni, CGSC with Mr.
Devvrat Yadav, Adv. for Resp./
UOI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHWANT VARMA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVINDER DUDEJA

ORDER

%

16.10.2024

CAV 500/2024

Since the caveator/ respondent has entered appearance, caveat
stands discharged.

CM APPL. 59318/2024 (Ex.) & 59322/2024 (Ex.)

Allowed subject to all just exceptions.



Applications stand disposed of.

CM APPL. 59323/2024 (Delay of 17 Days in Filing Appeal)

Bearing in mind the disclosures made, the delay of 17 days in filing the appeal is condoned.

Application stands disposed of.

LPA 1015/2024, CM APPL. 59319/2024 (For Impleading The State Kabaddi Associations), 59320/2024 (Interim Relief), 59321/2024 (Additional Document)

1. This Letters Patent Appeal is directed against the order dated 13 August 2024. The petitioner/ appellant had moved an interim application seeking directions in respect of players' auction which was proposed to be conducted.

2. We are today informed that the said auction has already concluded. In any case, we bear in mind the following observations which have been recorded by the learned Single Judge in the order impugned before us:

“10. Thus, the Sanction Agreement between AKFI and Respondent No. 3 has not yet been annulled by this Court. At this stage, the Court is only concerned with construction of the clause pertaining to extension of the Sanction Agreement, which as discussed above, indicates that the Agreement presently stands extended for another ten years.

11. In light of the above, in the opinion of this Court, no interim directions restraining Respondent No. 3 from conducting the player auctions can be issued solely on the basis of the public notice issued by the Administrator of AKFI.

12. Accordingly, the request made in the instant application is declined and the application is dismissed.”

3. Since all issues are pending consideration before the learned Single Judge itself, we find no justification to entertain the appeal at this stage. The appeal shall, accordingly, stand dismissed.



4. All rights and contentions of respective parties on merits are kept open.

YASHWANT VARMA, J.

RAVINDER DUDEJA, J.

OCTOBER 16, 2024/kk