



\$~65

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 7971/2024 & CRL. MA 30420/2024**

NAVEEN SINGH PAPRA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vipin Mishra, Advocate with
petitioner (through VC).

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI

THROUGH SHO & ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, APP for State
with SI Ramawati PS Maurice Nagar,
Delhi (M:7840803558).
Respondent no.2 through VC.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

08.10.2024

%

1. The present proceedings are instituted on behalf of the petitioner seeking quashing of FIR No. 0152/2016 registered under Sections 354/354D IPC at Police Station Maurice Nagar, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR, the petitioner chased and harassed the complainant.
3. Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, learned APP for the State, on instructions, submits that the petitioner is the only accused and respondent No.2 is the complainant/victim in the present case. It is further submitted that the charge-sheet has been filed. He states that the petitioner has earlier harassed the victim's sister and he therefore submits that considering the serious nature of averments/allegations and that the state machinery has been put in



motion, the petitioner may be saddled with heavy costs.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present FIR was registered due to some misunderstanding and with the intervention of family members and friends, parties have amicably settled their disputes vide Memorandum of Understanding/Settlement dated 12.09.2024, a copy whereof has been placed on record. In terms of the said settlement, complainant/respondent is now left with no claim or grievance against the petitioner.

5. The petitioner, who appears through VC, has been identified by his counsel as well as by the I.O./ SI Ramawati PS Maurice Nagar, Delhi. Respondent No. 2 is also appearing through VC and has been identified by the I.O.

6. The petitioner has shown remorse for his conduct and undertakes not to repeat the same in future. Respondent No.2 also states that she has entered into the aforementioned MOU/settlement out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

7. The parties shall remain bound by the statements and undertaking made in the Court today.

8. In view of the above facts and considering that no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed, subject to payment of cost of Rs.25,000/- to be paid by the petitioner to the respondent no.2 by way of demand draft through IO within a period of two weeks from today.

9. Proof evidencing receipt of deposit shall be filed with the concerned



I.O.

10. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of alongwith miscellaneous application.

11. In case the proof of cost is not filed within two weeks, the IO shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application in this regard.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

OCTOBER 8, 2024/*rd*