



\$~34

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 1604/2024**

PRABHAKAR SINGH PAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ankur Chhibber, Adv

versus

SHRI RAJ KUMAR PATEL

.....Respondent

Through: Mr.Vikrant N Goyal with Mr.Aditya
Shukla and Mr.Arpit Singh Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

%

08.10.2024

1. The present contempt petition has been preferred with the grievance that despite specific direction issued by this Court on 14.03.2024 and 06.05.2024, the respondents are not permitting the petitioner to enter the Centrally Protected Monuments.
2. Learned counsel for the respondent who appears on advance notice, submits that he has instructions to state that in light of the aforesaid orders passed by this Court on 14.03.2024 and 06.05.2024, the petitioner is not being stopped from entering any of the Centrally Protected Monuments.
3. In the light of the stand taken by the respondent, we find no reason to keep the present petition pending. However, needless to mention the respondent will remain bound by its statement.



4. The petition is accordingly, disposed of.

REKHA PALLI, J

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

OCTOBER 8, 2024/So.