



\$~79

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 14149/2024 and CM APPL. 59252/2024**

CHANDRA SHEKHAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr.Nishant Mandal and Mr.Raman
Raj Gangwar, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr.Brijesh Yadav, SPC, Ms.Nikita
Sangwan, Mr.Kapil Dev Yadav, GP
and Mr.Kuldeep Kasana, Advocates
for R-1.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

07.10.2024

CM APPL. 59251/2024 (for Exemption)

1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 14149/2024 and CM APPL. 59252/2024

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner at the outset submits that as of now, he would confine his prayer to the extent of directing respondent no.2 to decide his pending representation which has been made on 10.09.2024.
4. The Court is of the *prima facie* opinion that even the aforesaid prayer should have been made before the jurisdictional High Court, however, without prejudice to the rights and objections of the parties, the Court at this



stage, only finds it appropriate to direct the respondent no.2 to decide the pending representation of the petitioner expeditiously within a period of one month from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

5. In view of the aforesaid, the instant petition stands disposed of.

6. Needless to state that if the representation is decided against the petitioner, he would be at liberty to assail the same before the jurisdictional High Court.

PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV, J

OCTOBER 7, 2024

nc