



\$~73, 74 & 77

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 14098/2024**
MOHD ALI NAZEER FATIMA PHARMACY COLLEGE

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Ruhil and Mr. Rahul
Kasana, Advs.

versus

PHARMACY COUNCIL OF INDIARespondent

Through: Mr. Ajay Kr. Singh, Mr. Yatharth
Singh, Mr. Nikhil Kr. Sharma and
Ms. Moulishree Pathak, Advs.

74

+ **W.P.(C) 14101/2024**
SATYAWATI PATEL PHARMACY COLLEGE

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Ruhil and Mr. Rahul
Kasana, Advs.

versus

PHARMACY COUNCIL OF INDIARespondent

Through: Mr. Ajay Kr. Singh, Mr. Yatharth
Singh, Mr. Nikhil Kr. Sharma and
Ms. Moulishree Pathak, Advs.

77

+ **W.P.(C) 14136/2024**
REENA VERMA COLLEGE OF PHARMACYPetitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Ruhil and Mr. Rahul
Kasana, Advs.

versus



PHARMACY COUNCIL OF INDIA

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Ajay Kr. Singh, Mr. Yatharth Singh, Mr. Nikhil Kr. Sharma and Ms. Moulishree Pathak, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

07.10.2024

%

1. Heard.
2. Issue notice.
3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-PCI accepts notice.
4. Learned counsel for the respondent-PCI, on advance instructions, submits that under the facts of the present case, notwithstanding the delayed payment of fees, the application of the petitioners is under consideration, in accordance with law, by the respondent-PCI.
4. The statement so made on behalf of the respondent-PCI is taken on record. The respondent-PCI is bound by the same.
5. In view of the above, nothing further remains to be adjudicated in the instant petitions.
6. Accordingly, the petitions stand disposed of as rendered infructuous.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J

OCTOBER 7, 2024

p'ma