



2024:DHC:7760



\$~82

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

%

Date of Decision: 07.10.2024

+

CRL.M.C. 7887/2024

MAHINDER SINGH & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Yogesh Sharma, Adv. alongwith
petitioners in person.

versus

STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI) THROUGH SHO PS PALAM
VILLAGE & ANR.RespondentsThrough: Mr. Satinder Singh Bawa, APP for the
State with SI Jaiveer, PS Palam
Village.Mr. Rohit Kumar, Ms. Ananya
Behrawal, Mr. Prateek Chauhan and
Mr. Himanshu Verma, Advs. for R-2
alongwith R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA

%

J U D G M E N TANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA, J (ORAL)CRL.M.A. 30084/2024

Exemption allowed, subject to just exceptions.

Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 7887/2024

1. Petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 ('BNSS') has been preferred on behalf of the petitioners for quashing of FIR No. 127/2019 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at P.S.: Palam Village and proceedings emanating therefrom.

2. Issue notice. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for



respondent No.2 alongwith R-2 in person appear on advance notice and accept notice.

3. In brief, as per the case of the petitioners, marriage between petitioner no. 1 and respondent No. 2 was solemnized according to Hindu rites and ceremonies on 06.02.2006. No child was born out of the wedlock. Due to matrimonial differences, petitioner no. 1 and respondent No.2 started living separately and on complaint of respondent No.2, present FIR was registered on 19.03.2019.

4. The disputes are stated to have been amicably settled between the parties in terms of the Settlement dated 05.07.2024 arrived before Principal Counsellor, Family Courts, Dwarka. The marriage between petitioner No. 1 and respondent No. 2 has been dissolved by decree of divorce by way of mutual consent under Section 13B(2) of the Hindu Marriage Act vide judgment dated 07.09.2024.

5. An amount of Rs. 5,00,000/- has been paid to respondent No. 2 today through DD No. 403815 dated 04.10.2024 drawn on State Bank of India, Shastri Bhawan, Delhi in favour of respondent No. 2.

6. Learned APP for the State submits that in view of amicable settlement between the parties, he has no objection in case the FIR in question is quashed.

7. Petitioners and respondent No. 2 are present in person and have been identified by SI Jaiveer, PS: Palam Village. I have interacted with the parties and they confirm that the matter has been amicably settled between them without any threat, pressure or coercion. Respondent No. 2 also states that nothing remains to be further adjudicated upon between the parties and she



2024:DHC:7760



has no objection in case the FIR in question is quashed.

8. Considering the facts and circumstances, since the matter has been amicably settled between the parties, no useful purpose shall be served by keeping the case pending. It would be nothing but an abuse of the process of Court. The chances of conviction are bleak in view of amicable settlement between the parties. Consequently, FIR No. 127/2019 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at P.S.: Palam Village and proceedings emanating therefrom stand quashed.

Petition is accordingly disposed of. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

A copy of this order be forwarded to learned Trial Court for information.

ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA, J

OCTOBER 7, 2024

p