



\$~49 & 50

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 14054/2024**

NIKESH VALMIK WAGH & ANR.Petitioners

Through: **Mr. Mandeep Baisla & Mr.
Vaibhav Pachauri, Advs.**

versus

UNION OF INDIARespondent

Through: **Mr. Vikrant N. Goyal & Ms.
Geetanjali, Advs.
Shri Emil Jose, AC (CISF), SI
Prahlad, SI Amit & SI Atul,
CISF.**

50

+ **W.P.(C) 14063/2024**

METHROLE SUDHAKAR & ORS.Petitioners

Through: **Mr. Mandeep Baisla & Mr.
Vaibhav Pachauri, Advs.**

versus

UNION OF INDIARespondent

Through: **Ms. Shivani Luthra Lihiya, Ms.
Simran Khurana, Ms. Sankriti
Bansal & Ms. Soumya Kapoor,
Advs.
Shri Emil Jose, AC (CISF), SI
Prahlad, SI Amit & SI Atul,
CISF.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHWANT VARMA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVINDER DUDEJA

ORDER

%

07.10.2024

CM APPL. 58811/2024 (Ex.) in WP(C) 14054/2024

CM APPL. 58851/2024 (Ex.) in WP(C) 14063/2024



Allowed subject to all just exceptions.

Applications stand disposed of.

CM APPL. 58852/2024 (lengthy list of dates & synopsis) in WP(C) 14063/2024

Allowed subject to all just exceptions.

Application stands disposed of.

WP(C) 14054/2024 & 14063/2024

1. The solitary grievance of the writ petitioners is of the Standing Committee of the respondent-CISF having not taken a decision with respect to verification of antecedents.

2. Learned counsels for respective sides have drawn our attention to the order passed in **Saurabh Yadav & Ors. vs Union of India** [WP(C) 13124/2024 decided on 19 September 2024], in which while dealing with an identical grievance the writ petition came to be disposed of in the following terms:

“3. The petitioners, who are aspiring to join the Central Industrial Security Force (CISF) having applied for the post of Constable/Tradesman pursuant to the advertisement issued in the year 2022, have approached this Court seeking the following reliefs:-

“i. A direction to the Standing Committee of Respondent /CISF at CISF Headquarters may decide the correctness/antecedents of the documents produced by the Petitioners, showing their Proof in support of the fact that no case/FIR is pending against them, within Four weeks as no cases, whatsoever, are pending against the Petitioners herein and;

ii. Pass any such other or further order (s) in favor of the Petitioner(s) as deemed fit in the given fact and circumstances and in the interest of justice.”

4. Issue notice.

5. Learned counsel for the respondent accepts notice and submits that the petitioners' cases for appointment will be shortly put up for consideration before the Standing Screening Committee.



6. In the light of the aforesaid stand taken by the respondent, we dispose of the present petition by directing the respondent to ensure that the cases of the petitioners are expeditiously placed for consideration before the Standing Screening Committee and a final decision regarding their candidature as Constable/Tradesman is taken within a period of eight weeks.

7. Needless to state, in case, the petitioners are aggrieved by any order passed by the respondent, it will be open for them to seek legal recourse, as permissible in law.”

3. In view of the aforesaid and in light of the conceded stand taken by the respondent before us, the present writ petitions shall stand disposed of on identical terms.

YASHWANT VARMA, J

RAVINDER DUDEJA, J

OCTOBER 7, 2024/kk