



\$~79

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.M.C. 7871/2024 and CRL.M.A. 30029/2024
DHARMENDRA AND ORSPetitioners
Through: Mr.Saurav Panwar, Advocate with
petitioners in person
versus
STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, APP for State
with SI Mohan Lal
Mr.Umang Aditya Singh, Advocate for respondent
No.2 with respondent No.2 in person

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER
07.10.2024

%

1. The present petition has been filed seeking quashing of FIR No.315/2012 registered under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC at P.S. Alipur, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No.1 (husband) whereas petitioner Nos.2 to 7 are the in-laws of the complainant.
3. Learned APP for the State submits that in the present case, the petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No.2 is the only complainant/victim. He further submits that the chargesheet has also been filed.
4. Learned counsels for the parties submit that the parties have settled their dispute on 20.12.2012 before the Delhi Mediation Centre, Rohini District Courts, Delhi and that the petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 have



been living together for the last 12 years. In terms of the settlement, respondent No.2 is now left with no claims or grievances against the petitioners.

5. The petitioners and respondent No.2, who are present in Court, have been identified by their respective counsels as well as by the Investigating Officer. The petitioners assure and undertake that they would not give any reason to the complainant to complain or revive the present petition. The undertaking is accepted, taken on record and the petitioners are made bound by the same.

6. Respondent No.2 states that she has entered into the aforesaid mediation settlement out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

7. Learned counsels for the parties submit that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts, including the fact that the petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 have been living together as husband and wife for the last 12 years and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

10. The petition is disposed of in the above terms alongwith the pending application.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

OCTOBER 7, 2024/na