



\$~67

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 7868/2024**
NEWTONPetitioner

Through:

versus

THE STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: **Mr. Aashneet Singh, APP**
Mr. Saurabh Mishra, Adv.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER
16.12.2024

%

1. This is a petition seeking quashing of the FIR No. 376/2024 dated 14.04.2024 under Section 304A of IPC registered at PS Narela Industrial Area, Delhi.
2. As per the FIR, the deceased Tej Singh Kanwar s/o Virender Singh died while working in the factory of the petitioner.
3. My attention has been drawn to the chargesheet, wherein it has been stated that the deceased has gone on the first floor of the factory to adjust a tin shed and on account of torrential rains his foot slipped and he hit the iron stairs which led to his untimely demise.
4. It is stated by the learned counsel for the petitioner that it was an accident and there is no allegation of negligence in the incident.
5. During the pendency of the proceedings, the parties have arrived at a settlement dated 21.09.2024, wherein respondent No. 2 (wife) on her behalf and on behalf of her children have settled the disputes for a sum of Rs. 7



lakhs.

6. Rs. 60,000/- has already been paid and the balance amount of Rs. 6,40,000/- has been paid today *vide* DD No. 448280 dated 03.10.2024 drawn on Central Bank of India.

7. In addition, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner shall pay another sum of Rs. 3 lakhs to respondent No. 2 within 4 weeks from today.

8. Parties state that they have arrived at the settlement out of their own free will without any threat, pressure, coercion or undue influence.

9. There is no allegation of negligence on the part of the petitioner in the FIR and the death presumably is on account of an accident.

10. I am convinced that quashing of such proceedings on account of compromise would bring about peace and would secure ends of justice. It is to be noted that no amount of money can substitute the loss of life. However, money can serve as a bridge to overcome the difficulties of life due to the loss of a family member. It can lessen the burden of the family members left behind. The proceedings are quashed as the respondents have decided to put a quietus to the matter. The Court does not see any fruitful purpose if criminal proceedings are permitted to be prosecuted any further. It is a fit case for quashing. In this view of the matter, there is no reason to continue the proceedings.

11. Subject to the petitioner paying another sum of Rs. 3 lakhs to respondent No. 2 within 4 weeks from today, FIR No. 376/2024 dated 14.04.2024 filed under Section 304A IPC registered at PS Narela Industrial Area, Delhi and consequential proceedings, if any, arising therefrom are hereby quashed.



12. The proof of the cost shall be placed within 6 weeks from today, failing which the file shall be put up before the Court by the Registry.
13. The petition is disposed of.

JASMEET SINGH, J

DECEMBER 16, 2024/DM

Click here to check corrigendum, if any