



\$~90

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(CRL) 3085/2024**
BONZO LAISY ALIAS CHINUPetitioner

Through: Mr. Sunil Tiwari, Adv.
versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHIRespondent

Through: Mr. Rahul Tyagi, ASC for the State
with Mr. Sangeet Sibou, Mr. Jatin, Mr.
ANiket, Mr. Mathew M. Philp, Ms.
Priya Rai and Mr. Abhishek Tomar,
Advs and with SI Rohit, PS Rajouri
Garden.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA

ORDER

%

18.10.2024

1. Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 ('BNSS') has been preferred on behalf of the petitioner for grant of parole for a period of three months.
2. Learned ASC for the State submits that an application has not been initially preferred by the petitioner for grant of parole before the Competent Authority as required under Delhi Prison Rules, but a writ petition has been directly preferred before this Court. He further submits that present petition can be treated as representation on behalf of petitioner, for consideration by the Competent Authority, in accordance with Rules.
3. Taking the statement of learned ASC on record, petition is accordingly disposed of with directions to consider the petition as a representation on



behalf of petitioner for grant of parole, and the same be decided in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of this order by the Competent Authority. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

A copy this order be forwarded to Superintendent Jail concerned for information and compliance.

ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA, J.

OCTOBER 18, 2024/p