



\$~98

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 3056/2024**

SANTOSH KUMAR JHA & ANR.

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Jahid Ali, Adv.

versus

THE STATE (GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI) AND ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Yasir Rauf Ansari, ASC with ASI
Dharamveer, PS Ranhola (Main IO)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER

11.11.2024

%

1. This is a writ petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India read with section 482 of CrPC/528 of BNSS, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No. 0156/2024 registered at P.S. Ranhola, Delhi under sections 420/34 of IPC.
2. The brief facts are that the petitioner Nos. 1 and 2 defrauded respondent no. 2 i.e. the complainant on the pretext of selling their property for which they received sale consideration of Rs.16 lakhs. Subsequently, it was transpired that the petitioner sold the property to another party.
3. During the pendency of the proceedings, the parties entered into a settlement dated 28.08.2024 wherein the petitioners have paid a sum of Rs. 10 lakhs to the respondent No. 2 which has been accepted by the respondent No. 2 in full and final settlement. Further, the respondent No. 2 has undertaken to assist in quashing the aforesaid FIR.
4. Petitioner No. 1 is present physically in Court and petitioner No. 2 is present through video conference mode, both are identified by Mr. Ali, learned counsel. Respondent No. 2 is present in Court and identified by ASI



Dharamveer, PS Ranhola.

5. Since the parties have arrived at a settlement and no disputes are pending, I am convinced that quashing of such proceedings on account of compromise would bring peace and would secure ends of justice. The Court does not see any fruitful purpose if criminal proceedings are permitted to be prosecuted any further. In this view of the matter, there is no reason to continue the proceedings.

6. Mr. Ali, learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners shall pay a sum of Rs. 50,000/- to the respondent No.2/complainant within 8 weeks from today and shall deposit another sum of Rs. 50,000/- with the DHCLSC which shall be used for counselling of POSCO victims. The said statement is taken on record.

7. In this view of the matter, there is no reason to continue the proceedings. Hence, the aforesaid FIRs and the consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed.

8. In case proof of payment is not filed within the aforesaid period, the file shall be put up by the Registry.

9. Status report handed over in Court has been taken on record.

10. With these directions, the petition is disposed of.

JASMEET SINGH, J

NOVEMBER 11, 2024/sp

Click here to check corrigendum, if any