



\$~22

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RFA(COMM) 87/2024 with CM APPLs. 14797-99/2024**

ASHOK KUMAR SAINIA

.....APPELLANT

Through: Ms Sonali Malhotra, Ms Sakshi Singh
Advocates with appellant in person.

versus

SMT VIJLA SHARMA

.....RESPONDENT

Through: Mr Raghubir Kumar Sharma and
Mr Vinay Sharma, husband and son
of the respondent.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

13.09.2024

%

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

1. We are informed by Ms Sonali Malhotra, learned counsel, who appears on behalf of the appellant, that the *interse* dispute between the parties has been settled under the aegis of Delhi High Court Mediation and Conciliation Centre [in short 'Centre'].
2. In this connection, our attention has been drawn to the Settlement Agreement dated 30.08.2024 [in short 'SA'].
- 2.1 Ms Malhotra also informs us that as per the SA, Rs.24 lakhs has been paid to the respondent.
3. Mr Raghubir Kumar Sharma, husband of the respondent and Mr Vinay Sharma, son of the respondent are physically present in Court. They affirm that Rs.24 lakhs has been received by the respondent.
4. It is not in dispute that the possession of the subject property has also



been handed over to the respondent.

5. Given this position, the SA seems to have worked out itself. In any event, the terms of settlement, as reflected in the SA, in our opinion, are lawful.

5.1. The SA will form part of the record.

6. Accordingly, as prayed and as agreed by the parties, the appellant is permitted to withdraw the appeal.

7. It is ordered accordingly.

8. The appeal is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

AMIT BANSAL, J

SEPTEMBER 13, 2024/PB

Click here to check corrigendum, if any