



\$~9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.REV.P.(NI) 31/2024 & CRL.M.(BAIL) 1642/2024**

RAJNI VERMA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajneesh Bhaskar,
Advocate (DHCLSC) with
Mr. Rachit Raushan,
Advocate along with
petitioner in person.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR. Respondents

Through: Mr. Raj Kumar, APP for
the State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

21.10.2024

%

1. The Criminal Appeal filed by the petitioner was dismissed by order dated 06.08.2024 on the ground that the same was filed with a delay of three days.
2. The learned Additional Sessions Judge noted that no explanation has been given for condoning the delay.
3. This Court finds no infirmity in the order passed by the learned Trial Court. However, considering the fact that the petitioner is a woman and the delay was only three days, this Court considers it apposite to give one more opportunity to the petitioner to present her case before the Appellate Authority.
4. In view of the above, the impugned order is set aside and the appeal is restored to its original number.
5. The matter is now directed to be listed before the concerned Appellate Court on 28.11.2024 to be considered on its own merits.



6. It is made clear that the Court has not made any observation on the merits of the present case. The learned Appellate Court shall proceed without being influenced by any of the orders passed by this Court.

7. The appeal is allowed in the aforesaid terms.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

OCTOBER 21, 2024

kks