



\$~29

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 3323/2024**

DAYA NEGI AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Through: **Mr. Akash Mohan, Adv.**

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: **Ms. Nidhi Mohan Parashar, SPC with
Mr. Anand Chickra, Mr. Shubham
Prasad, Advs. with Mr. Shiv Kumar
Singh, SI, CRPF.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINDER KAUR

ORDER

16.08.2024

%

1. The petitioners have approached this Court assailing Clauses 2, 9 and 10 of the Modified Assured Career Progression Scheme (MACP) dated 19.05.2009 vide which, in contrast to the Assured Career Progression Scheme (ACP), an employee is entitled to upgradation only in the available next higher grade pay as against upgradation in the pay attached to the promotional post available under the ACP Scheme.
2. On the last date, in view of the submission of learned counsel for the respondents that a similar petition being *WP(C) 63/2024* titled as *Ravish Chander & Ors. vs. Union of India & Ors.* had already been dismissed by this Court on 05.01.2024, learned counsel for the petitioners was granted time to examine the said decision and make submissions.



3. Today, he fairly submits that the issue raised by the petitioners is squarely covered against them by way of the aforesaid decision.
4. The writ petition is dismissed accordingly for the reasons stated in *Ravish Chander & Ors. (supra)*.

REKHA PALLI, J

SHALINDER KAUR, J

AUGUST 16, 2024/ss